To The Principal, Science college, Konkorada Konkorada, Ganjam (Odisha)

Sub: - Submission of Feedback committee Report on student's feedback: 2020-21

Sir.

We, the feedback committee, are pleased to inform you that after collecting and analyzing the feedback data we do hereby present the feedback analysis report on the student's feedback for the academic session 2020-21. The report encapsulates the insights and suggestions gathered from the stakeholders.

Feedback Committee Science College, Konkorada

1) Sautosh Kumar Puth Ganjamdinator

2) Procesh chambra Dena (Hod odia)

(3) Susante Numan Schu (Hod Meth)

4. Rabinaha Behern (Hod Political Science)

(5) Longarus Rooth (Hod Educotron)

(6) Manoragh Thym (Hod Hist)

4) Horodoxu Sekhar padky

(lest 10) 27)

8) Asharani pathanin Hod commins

Enclosure: Feedback Analysis Report 2020-21


Feedback Report :2020-21


Introduction:


In response to the unique challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic, our institution conducted a comprehensive feedback survey during the academic year 2020-21. The survey encompassed 100 students selected at random, prioritizing inclusiveness by disregarding class, department, and gender considerations. The purpose of this report is to analyze and present the findings from the survey.

Summary of Feedback:

We have chosen 100 students at random, to diagnose the strengths and weakness from the perspective of the students. The questionnaires comprises of various aspects such as infrastructures, academics, governance and implementation of ICT tools etc. Out of the 100 random students there are 68 female students and 32 male students. 59 from final year, 27 from second year and 14 from 1st year students.







Moreover 54 OBC, 26 general 18 SC and 2 ST students have exercised their feedback.


After successful collection of the data from the students, the data were tabulated and analysed in MS Excell. Here we have introduced a rating system to evaluate the performance of the various aspects of the college. The aspects were rated on a 5 point scale where weightage has been given to 5 responses as follow.

Excellent	5 star
Very good	4 star
Good	3 star

Average	2 star			
Bad	1 star			

Accordingly the final cumulative star has been found by adding all the weightage (Star) of number of responses.

	Student Feedback	k 2020-21						
SI. No.	Aspects	Excellent	Very good	Good	Average 2	Bad	Cumul- ative Star	
	Syllabus	71	24	4	1	0	4.65	
	Lecturer's performance	31	20	27	16	6	3.54	
	Infrastructure	17	19	37	16	11	3.15	
ļ	Cleanliness	51	17	23	4	5	4.05	
	Regular Online Classes	69	11	12	4	4	4.37	
1	Effectiveness of online classes	18	24	42	14	2	3.42	
7	Online Examination	78	20	1	1	0	4.75	Overal
3	Career Counselling	6	29	21	41	3	2.94	the college Taking average cumulative sta the all 22 aspo
)	Library Facility	24	24	31	13	8	3.43	
0	Skill Development	21	32	21	19	7	3.41	
1	Mentor mentee system	28	11	34	19	8	3.32	
2	Admission Procedure	82	12	5	1	0	4.75	**
3	Observance of Covid -19 guidelines	56	14	18	12	0	4.14	Out of 5 st
4	Seminar/Webinar	52	19	15	9	5	4.04	
5	Scholarship	55	18	10	12	5	4.06	
6	AdvSlow learner system	41	12	20	23	4	3.63	
7	Student Teacher relation	57	16	24	2	3	4.28	
8	ICT tools used by faculty	28	25	14	24	9	3.39	
9	Grievance redressal	8	12	29	41	10	2.67	
0	Ragging free campus	81	11	5	2	1	4.69	
1	Coverage of syllabus	25	21	37	16	1	3.53	
22	Overall impression of college	59	12	20	7	2	4.19	



Key Insights and Recommendations:

- 1. Online Education Adaptation: The institution effectively transitioned to online education, as reflected in the high ratings for "Regular Online Classes" and "Effectiveness of Online Classes."
- 2. Hygiene and Safety: Students greatly appreciated the observance of COVID-19 guidelines on campus, leading to a positive rating for "Observance of Covid-19 Guidelines."
- **3. Student Support Services:** Areas such as "Career Counselling" and "Skill Development" require immediate attention and improvement, as they received lower ratings.
- **4. Grievance Handling**: The "Grievance Redressal" system needs enhancements to address student concerns more effectively, as indicated by the feedback.
- **5. Faculty Performance**: "Lecturer's Performance" and the use of "ICT Tools by Faculty" require closer examination to enhance the quality of online teaching.

Overall Rating:

The cumulative star rating for the institution is 3.84, indicating an overall positive sentiment among students. The institution successfully navigated the challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic while continuing to provide a quality education.

Conclusion:

We extend our appreciation to the 100 students who participated in the feedback survey, providing invaluable insights during this challenging period. The institution is committed to utilizing this feedback for continuous improvements that benefit the entire student community.

We will focus on addressing the specific concerns raised in this feedback to provide an exceptional educational experience and support services. We express our gratitude to the students for their resilience and cooperation during these trying times and reaffirm our commitment to their holistic development.

IQAC Coordinator
IQAC Coordinator
Science College, Konkorada
Ganjam

PRINCIPAL
Principal
SCIENCE COLLEGE
KONSORADA (Genjam)