

To
The Principal,
Science college, Konkorada
Konkorada, Ganjam (Odisha)

Sub :- Submission of Feedback committee Report on student's feedback: 2021-22

Sir,

We, the feedback committee, are pleased to inform you that after collecting and analyzing the feedback data we do hereby present the feedback analysis report on the student's feedback for the academic session 2021-22. The report encapsulates the insights and suggestions gathered from the stakeholders.

Feedback Committee
IQAC Coordinator
Science College, Konkorada
Ganjam



- ① Saymita Kumeri Sahu. (IQAC co-ordinator)
- ② Rabincha Behera (H.O.D Political Science)
- ③ Susanta K. Sahu (H.O.D Mathematics)
- ④ Laxman Pathi (HOD Education)
- ⑤ Manoj Kumar Singh (HOD Hist)
- ⑥ Prakash Chandra Jena (HOD odia)
- ⑦ Ashwani Sekhar Padhy (HOD IT)
- ⑧ Asharani Pattnaik (HOD Commerce)

Enclosure : Feedback Analysis Report 2021-22

Feedback Report - Academic Year 2021-22

Introduction:

The Science College, Konkorada conducts a comprehensive feedback programme for the academic year 2021-22. We collected feedback from a randomly selected group of 100 students, disregarding factors such as caste, class, department, gender, and more, to ensure inclusiveness. This report summarizes the feedback received and provides a detailed analysis of each category.



We have chosen 100 students at random, to diagnose the strengths and weakness from the perspective of the students. The questionnaires comprises of various aspects such as infrastructures, academics, governance and implementation of ICT tools etc.

Out of the 100 random students there are 68 female students and 32 male students. 59 from final year, 27 from second year and 14 from 1st year students. Moreover 54 OBC, 26 general 18 SC and 2 ST students has exercised their feedback.






After successful collection of the data from the students, the data were tabulated and analysed in MS Excell. Here we have introduced a rating system to evaluate the performance of the various aspects of the college. The aspects were rated on a 5 point scale where weightage has been given to 5 responses as follow.

Excellent	5 star
Very good	4 star
Good	3 star
Average	2 star
Bad	1 star

Accordingly the final cumulative star has been found by adding all the weightage (Star) of number of responses.

Summary of Feedback:

Student Feedback 2021-22

Sl. No.	Aspects	Excellent 	Very good 	Good 	Average 	Bad 	Cumulative Star
1	Syllabus	61	11	22	5	1	4.26
2	Lecturer's performance	29	38	28	3	2	3.89
3	Infrastructure	22	14	35	21	8	3.21
4	Cleanliness	34	21	25	11	9	3.6
5	sports	26	24	28	14	8	3.46
6	Co-curricular activity	51	24	19	5	1	4.19
7	Examination	72	16	9	2	1	4.56
8	Career Counselling	59	29	5	4	3	4.37
9	Library Facility	64	24	7	2	3	4.44
10	Skill Development	61	31	6	1	1	4.5
11	mentor mentee system	41	20	14	21	4	3.73
12	Admission Procedure	80	17	2	0	1	4.75
13	Parking	34	38	5	18	5	3.78
14	Seminar/webinar	68	24	3	3	2	4.53
15	Scholarship	68	16	6	6	4	4.38
16	Adv.-Slow learner system	55	24	14	3	4	4.23
17	Student Teacher relation	67	12	9	10	2	4.32
18	Study tour/Field visit	52	29	13	4	2	4.25
19	Grievance redressal	64	24	6	2	4	4.42
20	Ragging free campus	82	10	4	2	2	4.68
21	Coverage of syllabus	80	4	8	8	0	4.56


Overall rating of the college
Taking average of cumulative stars of the all 22 aspects

4.20



Out of 5 star rating

STUDENTS' FEED BACK 2021-22



Key Insights and Recommendations:

1. Academic Excellence: The institution received positive feedback in areas such as "Examination," "Admission Procedure," "Coverage of Syllabus," and "Ragging Free Campus," all of which received high ratings above 4.5. This indicates a strong focus on academic quality.

2. Student Support Services: "Library Facility," "Scholarship," and "Skill Development" have been well-received, reflecting the institution's commitment to providing comprehensive student support services.

3. Areas of Improvement: Categories like "Infrastructure," "Cleanliness," and "Parking" require immediate attention and improvement. These aspects received lower ratings and should be addressed to enhance the campus experience.

4. Grievance Handling: The "Grievance Redressal" system has received positive feedback, indicating that student concerns are being addressed effectively.

Overall Rating:


The overall rating for the institution is 4.20, indicating an overall positive sentiment among students. *The institution has demonstrated a commitment to academic excellence and student support services.*

Conclusion:

We are thankful to the 100 students who participated in the feedback survey, providing valuable insights into their college experience. The institution is committed to using this feedback to make continuous improvements that benefit the entire student community.

We will focus on addressing the specific concerns raised in this feedback to provide an exceptional educational experience and campus environment. We appreciate the dedication and cooperation of our students and remain committed to their holistic development.


IQAC Coordinator
IQAC Coordinator
Science College, Konkorada
Ganjam


PRINCIPAL
Principal
SCIENCE COLLEGE
Konkorada, Ganjam